

George Washington Plunkitt Honest Graft

George Washington Plunkitt Honest Graft

Downloaded from db.whlarchitecture.com by Kayley & Richard

In the annals of American political history, few figures are as simultaneously reviled and revered as George Washington Plunkitt. A master politician of Tammany Hall, the Democratic political machine that dominated New York City politics for much of the 19th and early 20th centuries, Plunkitt is remembered for his brazen, unapologetic defense of a practice he called **honest graft**. His name has become synonymous with a particular brand of political corruption—one that operated just within the letter of the law while exploiting its spirit for personal gain.

To understand Plunkitt is to understand the gritty, unfiltered reality of urban political machines. These were not faceless bureaucracies; they were deeply personal networks of loyalty, patronage, and mutual benefit. Plunkitt's philosophy, meticulously recorded in a series of interviews with journalist William L. Riordon, offers a fascinating, first-hand look at how power was wielded in the immigrant-packed wards of New York. This article explores the life, methods, and enduring legacy of the man who turned a euphemism into a political doctrine, and why the story of **George Washington Plunkitt honest graft** remains relevant for understanding the fine line between influence and corruption today.

WHO WAS GEORGE WASHINGTON PLUNKITT?

Born in 1842 in the Nanny Goat Hill district of Manhattan, George Washington Plunkitt grew up in a world of poverty and political opportunity. He began his career in the city's government as a butcher's apprentice and a fireman, but he quickly realized that the real power lay in the smoke-filled back rooms of Tammany Hall. Plunkitt rose through the ranks of this political machine with a combination of charisma, street smarts, and an unshakeable belief in the system he served.

For over forty years, Plunkitt held a series of offices, including state senator, city alderman, and member of the U.S. House of Representatives. Yet, he rarely sought the limelight for legislative achievements. His true domain was the Fifteenth Assembly District, a teeming, largely Irish-American ward on the West Side of Manhattan. Here, Plunkitt was not just a politician; he was a fixer, a benefactor, and a neighborhood patriarch. He knew the name of every voter, the state of every family's health, and the date of every baptism. This intimate connection was the bedrock of his power and the engine of his **honest graft**.

THE HONEST GRAFT PHILOSOPHY: A MORAL DEFENSE

Plunkitt's most lasting contribution to political language is the distinction between **honest graft** and "dishonest graft." This was not a concession to morality; it was a strategic defense. In his famous "honest graft" speeches, he articulated a worldview that separated insider trading from outright theft.

"The question is," Plunkitt once said, "whether a man who gets a good thing by a stroke of good luck or by knowing what is going on is a crook. I'm not a crook." Plunkitt defined **honest graft** as using political knowledge to make legal, profitable investments. For example, he would learn weeks in advance that the city planned to build a new park in a certain neighborhood. He would then quietly buy up the surrounding land. When the park was announced, land values soared, and Plunkitt would sell at a

massive profit.

This, he argued, was perfectly legal. He didn't steal from the city treasury. He didn't take bribes for votes (that was "dishonest graft" for "low-down men"). He merely used his inside information—information available to anyone "with his eyes open"—to make a smart investment. In his view, this was the just reward of a politician who was smarter and more alert than the average citizen.

The Key Distinction: Inside Information vs. Theft

Plunkitt was careful to build a moral framework around his actions. He contrasted his behavior with the "black-hearted scoundrels" who stole from the city through outright fraud. For Plunkitt, **honest graft** was a form of competitive capitalism, played by the rules of the market. The only difference was that his market intelligence came from his position in government.

He summarized his philosophy in a now-famous analogy: "I seen my opportunities and I took 'em." This simple declaration encapsulates the pragmatism of the era. In a city where government decisions directly impacted land values, contracts, and licenses, those who made those decisions were expected to profit from their knowledge. To Plunkitt, refusing to do so would be foolish.

HOW HONEST GRAFT WORKED IN PRACTICE

The methods of **George Washington Plunkitt honest graft** were diverse and deeply embedded in the daily operations of Tammany Hall. They can be broken down into several classic techniques:

- **Land Speculation:** As mentioned, buying land or options on land before municipal improvements were announced. Plunkitt boasted of making millions this way.
- **Contract Kickbacks:** While he didn't steal from the treasury, Plunkitt expected a "finder's fee" or a "commission" for directing city contracts to favored businesses. He saw this as a legitimate business expense for contractors who benefited from his influence.
- **Patronage and Jobs:** Plunkitt used his power to secure city jobs for friends and constituents. These employees were then expected to contribute a portion of their salary (often 2-5%) back to Tammany Hall. This was known as "assessments" and was a primary source of funding for the political machine.
- **Bailing Out Friends:** Plunkitt used his influence with judges and police to protect his supporters from legal trouble. While this often crossed into corruption, he framed it as taking care of his "constituents."
- **The "Holiday":** Plunkitt and other Tammany leaders would often take "vacations" paid for by grateful businessmen and developers who had received city favors.

The Role of the Political Machine

Honest graft could not exist without a powerful political machine like Tammany Hall. The machine provided the stability and continuity of power necessary for such long-term schemes. A lone politician would not have the same access to advance knowledge or the ability to guarantee that contracts would be awarded. The machine, with its network of precinct captains and ward bosses, was the information superhighway of its day.

Plunkitt saw Tammany Hall not as a corrupt organization but as a service provider. In an era with no social safety net, Tammany Hall was the welfare system, the employment agency, and the legal aid society all rolled into one. **Honest graft** was the price the city paid for this unofficial, highly efficient social service. "Every man in Tammany is a friend of the people," Plunkitt insisted. "We take care of our own."

THE DISTINCTION FROM DISHONEST GRAFT

Plunkitt drew a bright red line between his methods and those he considered criminal. **Dishonest graft**, in his view, included:

- **Outright theft from the city treasury.**
- **Bribery for specific legislative votes.**
- **Blackmail.**
- **Stealing from individuals.**

He claimed he never engaged in these activities. He argued that a politician who stole public money was a fool because he would eventually get caught. But a politician who used his knowledge to make legal investments was a genius. This distinction is, of course, highly artificial. The insider trading that Plunkitt championed is today considered a serious crime. But in the legal and ethical environment of Gilded Age America, it was widely seen as a legitimate perquisite of office.

This moral flexibility is what makes the study of **George Washington Plunkitt honest graft** so compelling. It reveals a worldview where the ends justify the means, and where the personal profit of the politician is seen as a natural outcome of public service. Plunkitt was not a hypocrite; he genuinely believed that his system was better than a system of "cold, formal, civil service reform" that would break the personal bonds between the people and their leaders.

THE LEGACY AND CRITICISMS

Plunkitt's legacy is deeply divisive. On one hand, he is held up as a symbol of the worst of political corruption—a man who enriched himself at the public's expense while pretending to be a champion of the common man. Reformers of the Progressive Era, like Lincoln Steffens and Jacob Riis, saw Plunkitt and Tammany Hall as the primary obstacle to good government. They argued that **honest graft** was just a euphemism for systemic thievery

that undermined democracy and wasted public resources.

On the other hand, modern scholars sometimes point to Plunkitt as a realist. His methods provided material benefits to his poverty-stricken constituents. He got them jobs, baskets of food, and a burial plot. He was accessible and responsive. In a city that was otherwise indifferent to the plight of immigrants, Plunkitt and his machine were there. This paternalistic system, while corrupt, provided a form of governance that worked for millions of people who were otherwise excluded from the political process.

The Relevance Today

The story of **George Washington Plunkitt honest graft** is not a historical curiosity. The tension between insider knowledge, power, and public duty persists in every level of government today. While the outward forms have changed, the core issue remains: should public officials be allowed to profit from their position, even if they do not technically break the law?

Modern equivalents of **honest graft** include:

- **Congressional stock trading:** Lawmakers using non-public information to buy and sell stocks.
- **Revolving door lobbying:** Former government officials using their connections to secure lucrative private sector jobs.
- **Campaign contributions and earmarks:** Legal but ethically questionable exchanges of money for political favors.

Plunkitt's philosophy challenges us to examine the fine line between legal influence and corruption. His arguments—"I seen my opportunities and I took 'em"—are still echoed by those who defend questionable practices. The enduring debate over **honest graft** forces us to ask: is it enough for something to be legal, or should public servants be held to a higher standard?

CONCLUSION

George Washington Plunkitt was a product of his time, but his ideas continue to echo through American politics. His articulation of **honest graft** provides a candid, unvarnished look at the mechanics of power in a machine-driven city. He was neither a hero nor a simple villain. He was a pragmatist who understood the rules of a game that was often rigged in favor of the wealthy and connected.

By examining his life and his philosophy, we gain a clearer understanding of the ethical challenges that have always haunted democratic governance. The concept of **George Washington Plunkitt honest graft** remains a powerful tool for analyzing the subtle and not-so-subtle ways that power can be used for personal gain. It reminds us that corruption is not always a matter of stolen money, but often a matter of stolen opportunities, information, and trust. In the end, Plunkitt's legacy is a cautionary tale about the dangers of conflating political influence with personal entitlement, a lesson as relevant today as it was in the smoky precincts of Tammany Hall.